

NAME OF GRANT: 2016 LWCF Outdoor Recreation Legacy and Partnership Program

PROJECT SPONSOR

NAME OF PROJECT

## SCORE SHEET

### SUMMARY CRITERIA

	Up to # of Points	TABLE #3 Statewide Priorities
	60	Relevance of Proposed Project to Core Programs Goals
	15	Technical Merit and qualifications of applicants
	25	Financial support and leveraging
	100	

#### Part One – “Criteria Narrative”

#### Relevance of proposed project to core program goals (60 points out of 130 total)

**The extent to which the project will improve physical access and recreational services and address a neighborhood/community recreational deficiency (up to 20 points).**

	20-15	Higher priority will be given to projects that acquire and/or develop a new park/recreation opportunity in a neighborhood <b>with no other such resources within a reasonable service area</b> , or significantly rehabilitate or enhance an existing park/recreation opportunity in a neighborhood with no other such resources within a reasonable service area, or is otherwise severely underserved.
	14-1	Lower priority will be given to projects that acquire and/or develop a new or significantly enhance an existing park/recreation opportunity in a neighborhood that has other recreation opportunities.
	0	No Response

**The extent to which the project will improve recreation service to economically disadvantaged neighborhoods/communities (up to 20 points).**

	20-15	Higher priority will be given to projects that will improve outdoor recreation opportunities for target neighborhood/community populations including significant populations of <b>youth, minorities, and/or low and moderate income residents</b> , for whom serious recreation deficiencies exist.
	14-1	Lower priority will be given to projects that improve outdoor recreation opportunities in neighborhoods/communities that <b>do not contain significant populations of youth, minorities, and/or low and moderate-income residents</b> .
	0	No response

**The extent to which the target community participated in project development and the extent of support of government agencies and elected officials (up to 10 points).**

	10-8	Higher priority will be given to projects that <b>originated from citizens, neighborhood leaders, or community groups, particularly youth and youth groups, within the community that will be served by the project.</b>
	7-4	Priority will also be given to projects that are <b>supported by public agencies and elected officials</b> and those that will employ and/or <b>provide job training opportunities for youth</b> and/or veterans (or to a lesser extent, provide opportunities for volunteer service).
	3-2	Lower priority will be given to projects with little to <b>no community support or that do not provide employment, job training, or volunteer opportunities.</b>
	0	No Response

**The extent to which the project will advance an innovative solution and/or transform a non-park/recreation land use (up to 10 points).**

	10-6	Higher priority will be given to projects that <b>offer unique and innovative aspects</b> such as with park placement, design, or <b>retrofit of facilities</b> , and/or plans to <b>convert non-park/recreation land uses</b> or <b>distressed properties</b> to a new or enhanced park that will be a community recreation asset that better meets current needs.
	5-1	Lower priority will be given to projects that would result in effective recreation facilities and services but <b>will be accomplished through common or standard approaches.</b>
	0	No response

**Technical Merit and Qualifications of Applicant (15 points out of 100 total)**

**The strength of the project's alignment with the State's SCORP and specific priorities of any community/regional/state revitalization or economic development plans (up to 5 points).**

		Higher priority will be given to projects that address a specific <b>SCORP</b> priority or goal as well as that of other city, regional, state, and/or national-level comprehensive, master, community revitalization, and/or economic development plans.
		Lower priority will be given to projects that only generally align with broad SCORP goals.
	0	No response

**The readiness of the project (up to 5 points).**

	10-8	Higher priority will be given to projects that exhibit a high degree of confidence that they <b>can begin within one year and be completed in three.</b>
	7-4	Priority will also be given to projects where work that would support review for <b>NEPA</b> or <b>NHPA</b> compliance purposes <b>is already done</b> or where <b>complications appear unlikely.</b>
	3-1	Lower priority will be given to projects with plans are <b>still at the concept stage</b> and/or the project <b>seems to face implementation challenges</b> , and where the <b>timeline is scoped out only in broad terms.</b>
	0	No response

**The capacity of the applicant and partners in the project (up to 5 points).**

	10-6	Higher priority will be given to project sponsors who can <b>demonstrate past success in:</b> accomplishing LWCF-assisted and/or <b>similar projects</b> ; <b>administering LWCF or other federal grants</b> ; and <b>managing and sustaining parks or other recreation resources to ensure long-term viability</b> and <b>remain compliant with the legislatively mandated LWCF Section 6(f)(3) protections.</b>

		Funding sources for continued operation of facility.
	5-1	Lower priority will be given to projects where the sponsor <b>has known problems in implementing previous LWCF projects, managing federal grants, issues or challenges with park and outdoor recreation management</b> , and/or has currently outstanding <b>LWCF 6(f)(3) compliance issues</b> that are being poorly managed.
	0	No response

### **Financial Support and Leveraging (25 points out of 100 total)**

**The viability and reasonableness of the project budget as it relates to the scope of work (up to 10 points).**

	5-4	Higher priority will be given to clear and <b>detailed projects budgets that contain cost items that are reasonable</b> and are in place and documented
	3-2	Priority will also be given to projects where funding to cover the <b>entire project budget is mostly in place (excluding the grant amount)</b> , particularly any amounts <b>promised as overmatch</b> .
	1	Lower priority is given to poorly detailed and/or unrealistic project budgets where <b>limited funding is available beyond the amount needed to match</b> the requested grant and <b>additional would be needed to complete the entire project</b> .
	0	No response

Partner Support and leveraging (15 points)

	12-15	Higher priority will be given to project supported by private, public and/or non-profits sectors particularly if they are available and secured match from those sources
	8-12	Priority will be given to projects with less support but have documented support and sources of match
	1-7	Lower priority will be given to projects that anticipate partner support but there is little or no documentation
		No response

**TOTALS**